Date:30 August 2023Project No:LTC21220-ARevision:B

Ngai Tahu Property 115 Eureka Street, Aranui Attention: Simon Tucker



LandTech Consulting Ltd

Email: info@landtech.nz Postal: PO Box 119, Christchurch 8013

> Auckland Office: 09 930 9334 15C Paramount Drive, Henderson

Christchurch Office: 03 390 1371 11B Carlyle Street, Sydenham

www.landtech.nz

RE: SHALLOW SOIL TEST REPORT FUTURE RESIDENTIAL FOUNDATIONS LOT 23, 115 EUREKA STREET, ARANUI

1.0 Introduction & Background

LandTech Consulting Ltd (LandTech) were engaged by Ngai Tahu Property to carry out lot specific shallow soil testing at Lot 23, 115 Eureka Street, Aranui (the site). The purpose of the shallow soil testing is to confirm subsurface conditions and provide geotechnical recommendations with regards to future residential foundations within the site.

We previously investigated the underlying larger property, which this lot has been formed from, to support the corresponding Resource Consent for the subdivision. Our findings are presented in a report titled: *Geotechnical Investigation Report for Proposed Residential Subdivision 115 Eureka Street, Aranui,* project reference: LTC21220, Revision B, dated 13 September 2021.

LandTech has been retained to monitor earthworks and ground remediation for the subdivision site. As earthworks are ongoing across the wider subdivision site at the time of preparing this letter, we have yet to prepare an Earthworks Completion Report for the subdivision. However, earthworks were completed at this particular lot prior to our current shallow soil testing and had been carried out to the appropriate engineering standards. Therefore, this report is considered relevant for the current ground conditions beneath the lot and appropriate to support future dwelling foundation design. Should additional earthworks take place within the Lot following the date of our investigation, then the results of this shallow soil report may need to be reassessed.

2.0 Shallow Soil Testing

LandTech investigated the site on 23 March 2023, conducting two hand augers with corresponding Scala Penetrometer tests (SPs) and two additional SPs. The locations of the hand auger and SPs were measured using inferred lot boundaries and are approximate only. The test locations are shown on our LandTech *Test Location Plan*, Drawing No. Lot 23/ TLP, attached to this report.

Soil types and conditions within the hand augers were logged by LandTech technical staff in accordance with New Zealand Geotechnical Society *Guideline for the Description of Soil and Rock for Engineering Purposes* (2005). The hand auger logs for each hole are attached.

Our SP testing was carried out in accordance with NZS 4402:1988, Test 6.5.2, *Dynamic Cone Penetrometer*. The SP results are also attached.

Topsoil was encountered within the hand augers from the ground surface to 0.2m and 0.3m depths, which was typically a dark brown sandy silt material with some gravel. Engineered fill was encountered below the topsoil to the base of auger holes, which was a compacted light brown sand material with minor silt. The hand augers were terminated at 1.2m depth below ground level to avoid damage to the geotextile layer which was installed at 1.5m depth below ground level. Groundwater was not encountered during testing.

As part of the subdivision earthworks, ground improvement consisting of a reinforced raft is being constructed across the site, which we observed the subgrade excavations for and monitored construction. The reinforced engineered fill raft comprises 250mm compacted sand layers, with a total thickness of 1200mm, capped with 300mm of topsoil. A layer of geotextile (AS410) was installed at the base of the engineered fill raft and three geogrid layers (Duragrid 40/40) were installed within it for reinforcement (at the base, 250mm, and 750mm).

SPs at each of the four locations returned results between 2 and 10 blows per 100mm penetration below the topsoil and within the engineered fill layer. SPs were terminated at 0.9m depth to confirm near surface bearing capacities, and to avoid damage to the geogrids and geotextile. In addition, the density of the engineered fill and bearing capacity of its underlying subgrade have been confirmed via extensive geotechnical inspection and fill compaction testing during subdivision earthworks.



3.0 Foundation Recommendations

From our previous investigation in support of the subdivision, we concluded that TC2 foundations in accordance with MBIE Guidelines (December 2012) may be used at this site following subdivision earthworks and ground remediation (reinforced engineered fill raft). Our current lot-specific shallow soil testing confirms such foundations remain appropriate.

All foundations must be embedded to a minimum depth of 0.3m below ground level, where an Ultimate Bearing Capacity of 200kPa and a strength reduction factor of (ϕ s) 0.5 is available. Foundations excavations should expose engineered fill of appropriate bearing capacity. All topsoil and unsuitable materials should be removed below foundations and floor slab areas, and where required layers of compacted hardfill should be placed to the underside of foundations.

The ground improvement could not extend all the way up to the southeastern boundary of the lot on account of temporary batters of approximately 1V :1H being formed down from the boundaries during construction to allow for the formation of the engineered fill raft. Therefore, a no-build setback to the greater of 2.0m, the depth of ground remediation, or the sum of the permanent cut face (existing ground level to finished ground level) plus the depth of ground remediation along these boundaries, shall be created along the corresponding boundaries. With reference to the Davie Lovell-Smith As-Built Earthworks Plans completed for the subdivision, the maximum depth of ground remediation was 1.4m, while the maximum permanent cut face is 0.4m high along some of the boundaries. Therefore, the 2.0m no-build setback governs along these boundaries to external neighbouring properties.

4.0 Limitations

This shallow soil testing report has been prepared for our client, Ngai Tahu Property, to support foundation design and corresponding Building Consent applications for future housing within the corresponding lot. This report shall not be extrapolated for other nearby sites or used for any other purposes without the express approval of LandTech and our client.

The findings of this report are based on the results of testing conducted at point locations and within the agreed scope of works. Therefore, while we have attempted to conduct a thorough investigation of soils across the site, local subsurface conditions could vary from those used in our geotechnical model, as soils can vary naturally and due to previous human activities. LandTech has no control over and should not be held accountable for these variations. Should exposed soil conditions vary from those described herein, we request to be informed so that we may assess the continued applicability of our recommendations.

SHALLOW SOIL TESTING REPORT LANDTECH CONSULTING LIMITED 30 AUGUST 2023 – REVISION B



Our geotechnical investigation described in this report was confined to geotechnical aspects of the site only and did not involve an assessment for environmental contaminants. Our investigation and analyses have not taken into account possible fault rupture that may cause deformations and displacements of the ground directly below the site. These assessments are outside the scope of our geotechnical engagement.

If you have any queries regarding this report, please contact the undersigned at your convenience.

Yours faithfully, LandTech Consulting Limited


Prepared By: Remus Marchis – Engineering Geologist BSc (geology), PMEG


e wa


- Authorised By: Dwayne Wilson Director CMEngNZ, CPEng, IntPE(NZ)
- Attachments: Test Location Plan Test Results

SHALLOW SOIL TESTING REPORT LANDTECH CONSULTING LIMITED 30 AUGUST 2023 – REVISION B









NOTES:

Locations of features approximate only

Original sheet size A3

Boundary information on this *Test Location Plan* adapted from LINZ website: <u>www.data.linz.govt.nz</u> (accessed 23 March 2023)

Location of proposed lot boundaries adapted from Davie Lovell-Smith drawing titled: Proposed Subdivision, dated May 2021



		and o N S U I	TINC										No.: LOT No.: 1 of	23- HA01	
Project No.: LTC21 Drill Type: 50mm Date Started: 23-Mai Date Finished: 23-Mai			220-A Hand Auger -23	Coordinates: Reduced Level: Ground Conditions: Groundwater Level (m):	NZTM2000: E1576305.32, N5182972 2.83m (LVD1937) Near level, Topsoil. Not Encountered						Logged By: Shear Vane No.: Calibration Factor: Calibration Date:				
Stratigraphy	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	D Soil description in accordance with Guideline fo Engineering Purposes	escription r the Field Classification and Description of S NZ Geotechnical Society Inc, 2005	oil and Rock for	Groundwater Level (m)	Depth (m)	In Shear Strength (kPa) • Peak • Remoulded 50 100 150 200		(kPa)	situ Field Dynan (m) (the set of the set of		one (Scala) Penetrometer		
Topsoil / Fill	-	IS	Fine to coarse sandy SILT, some f greywacke gravel, dark brown, firm [TOPSOIL/FILL].	ı, moist, non-plastic, trace brick			-				-0.1 -0.2	1 1	•		
Engineered Fill	- - 0.5 _ -		Fine to medium SAND, minor silt, t brown, medium dense, moist, [EN0 `0.4m: Light brown, no gravel.	race fine subround greywacke g 3INEERED FILL].	ravel, dark		- - 0.5 -				-0.3 -0.4 -0.5 -0.6 -0.7 -0.8	2 3 6 7 10 10			
	- - - -		[∼] 1.1m: Fine SAND, brown.	Augerhole: 1.2m		-	- - 1.0 -				-0.9	8			
	- 1.5 _ -			GGET DEPTH]			- - 1.5 -								
	- 2.0						- 2.0 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	- 2.5 _ - - -						- 2.5 _ - - -								
Target de	epth achie	eved.						ance with the foll sting: NZS 4402			c Cone Penel	rometer			
			LandTech Consulting Ltd: 11B Carlyle Street, Sy	denham Christchurch, 8023		Shear Vane Ph: 03 39		uideline for Hand	d Held Shear		IZGS, August Email: info(Website: w	@Jandtech	.nz ch.nz		

Generated with CORE-GS by Geroc - LandTech - Hand Auger / Test Pit - 30/03/2023 8:49:34 am

Address: 115 Eureka Street, Aranui, Christchurch Project No: LTC2120A Coordinates: NZTM200:: E1576308.41, N5182954.26 Drill Type: Som Hand Auger Reduced Level: 2.33m (L/D1937) Date Started: 23-Mar-23 Ground Conditions: Near level, Topsoil. Date Finished: 23-Mar-23 Groundwater Level (m): Not Encountered Medica Description Soil description in accordance with Guideline for the Field Classification and Description of Soil and Fock for Soil description in accordance with Guideline for the Field Classification and Description of Soil and Fock for Peak Soil description in accordance with Guideline for the Field Classification and Description of Soil and Fock for Soil adescription in accordance with Guideline for the Field Classification and Description of Soil and Fock for Peak Time Soil description in accordance with Guideline for the Field Classification and Description of Soil and Fock for Peak Bernoulded Soil description in accordance with Guideline for the Field Classification and Description of Soil and Fock for Peak Up to 100 20 Soil description in accordance with Guideline for the Field Classification and Description of Soil and Fock for Peak Up to 100 20 Fine to coarse sandy SILT, some fine to medium subround to subangular Peak	Logged By: Shear Vane No.: Calibration Factor: Calibration Date: In-situ Field Testing 'a) Dynamic Cone (Scala) Penetrome
Image: Constraint of the second se	-
Image: Description of the second s	
Image: Description of the second s	E Scala Blow Count E o 100mm
Image: Second	(Ē) 5 100mm ↓ td 2 2 100mm 0 2 2 10 15
Fine to medium SAND, minor silt, trace fine subround greywacke gravel, dark brown, medium dense, moist, [ENGINEERED FILL]. 0.5- 0.5- 0.5- 0.5- 0.5- 0.5- 0.5- 1.0- 1.0- 1.0- 1.0- 1.0- 1.1m: Trace fine subround greywacke gravel. End of Augerhole: 1.2m	
Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel, dark brown, medium dense, moist, [ENGINEERED FILL]. 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 1.0 - 1.0m: Dense. 1.0 - 1.0m: Dense. 1.1m: Trace fine subround greywacke gravel. 1.0 - 1.0 -	-0.1 1
Fine to medium SAND, minor silt, trace fine subround greywacke gravel, dark brown, medium dense, moist, [ENGINEERED FILL]. 0.5- 0.5- 0.5- 0.5- 0.5- 1.0- 1.0m: Dense. 1.1m: Trace fine subround greywacke gravel. 1.0- End of Augerhole: 1.2m 1.0	-0.2 2
Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel, dark Fine to medium SAND, minor silt, trace fine subround greywacke gravel, dark Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 0.5 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 0.5 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 0.5 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 0.5 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 1.0 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 1.0 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel.	
Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel, dark Fine to medium SAND, minor silt, trace fine subround greywacke gravel, dark Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 0.5 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 0.5 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 0.5 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 0.5 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 1.0 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. 1.0 - Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel. Image: Description of the subround greywacke gravel.	-0.3 1
Image: Description of the second s	-0.4 2
0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 1.0 1.0m: Dense. 1.0m: Dense. 1.0 1.1m: Trace fine subround greywacke gravel. 1.0 1.0 End of Augerhole: 1.2m 1.2m	
Image: Description of Augerhole: 1.2m Image: Description of Augerhole: 1.2m Image: Description of Augerhole: 1.2m	-0.5 4
1.0 1.0m: Dense. 1.1m: Trace fine subround greywacke gravel. End of Augerhole: 1.2m	-0.6 3
1.0 1.0m: Dense. 1.1m: Trace fine subround greywacke gravel. End of Augerhole: 1.2m	
1.0 1.0m: Dense. 1.1m: Trace fine subround greywacke gravel. End of Augerhole: 1.2m	-0.7 5
1.0 1.0m: Dense. 1.1m: Trace fine subround greywacke gravel. End of Augerhole: 1.2m	-0.8 5
1.0 1.0m: Dense. 1.1m: Trace fine subround greywacke gravel. End of Augerhole: 1.2m	
Image:	-0.9 5
Image:	
I.1m: Trace fine subround greywacke gravel. End of Augerhole: 1.2m	
End of Augerhole: 1.2m	
1.5	
2.52.5	
Target depth achieved. In situ testing inaccordance with the following standards:	
Scala Penetrometer Testing: NZS 4402:1988, Test 6.5.2, D Shear Vane Testing: Guideline for Hand Held Shear Vane	
LandTech Consulting Ltd: 11B Carlyle Street, Sydenham Christchurch, 8023 Ph: 03 390 1371	

Generated with CORE-GS by Geroc - LandTech - Hand Auger / Test Pit - 30/03/2023 8:49:36 am

	Client: Ngai Tahu Property Project: Lot Specific Testing Address: 115 Eureka Street, Aranui, Christchurch															
	Ground Conditions: Near level, Topsoil. Coordinates: NZTM2000 E 1576311.05 N 5182964.78					LOT 23- SP02 Tested By: KY Ground Conditions: Near level, Topsoil. Coordinates: NZTM2000 E 1576301.88 N 5182963.46 Test Date: 23-Mar-23					nd Conditions: dinates:	G C	Tested By: Ground Conditions: Coordinates: Test Date:			
DEPTH (m)	SCALA PENETROMETER (Blows / 100mm) 1 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 -				SCALA PENETROMETER (Blows / 100mm)				DEPTH (m)				SCALA PENETROMETER (Blows / 100mm)			
Centerated with CORE-CSS by Oseros-Scala Results, 12Aug0021 - 30032023 0.1 - 30032023 0.1 - 30032023 0.1 - 30032023 0.1 - 30032023 0.1 - 30032023 0.1 - 30020203 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 300202023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3002023 0.1 - 3					1 2 1 3 5 7 7 8 9					- 0.2 $ -$					-	